A] Comparison of feedback of different stakeholders on Rubric Questions (Q.1 TO Q.6)


Q.1 HOW WOULD YOU RANK THE CURRICULUM'S STRUCTURE AND RELEVANCE TO REAL-WORLD CONDITIONS (IN TERMS OF LOCAL, NATIONAL, REGIONAL, AND WORLDWIDE DEVELOPMENTAL TRENDS)?

■ 1. Poor ■ 2. Fair ■ 3. Good ■ 4. Very Good ■ 5. Excellent

Q. 2 RATE THE CURRICULUM'S ALIGNMENT WITH THE PROGRAMME'S PROGRAMME OUTCOMES (POS), PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTCOMES (PSOS), AND COURSE OUTCOMES (COS), AS WELL AS ITS MAPPING WITH THE UNIVERSITY'S COURSES.

■ 1. Poor ■ 2. Fair ■ 3. Good ■ 4. Very Good ■ 5. Excellent

Q.3 ASSESS THE INCLUSION OF A 'RECENT DEVELOPMENT/RESEARCH COMPONENT' AND THE APPROPRIATE BALANCE OF THEORY, PRACTICAL, AND PROJECT WORK, AS WELL AS TRAINING AND INTERNSHIP OPPORTUNITIES WITH THE CURRICULUM.

1. Poor   2. Fair   3. Good   4. Very Good   5. Excellent

| Category	1. Poor	2. Fair	3. Good	4. Very Good	5. Excellent
STUDENTS (UG)	3.36%	4.52%	26.68%	33.64%	31.79%
STUDENTS (PG)	7.14%	28.57%	35.71%	28.57%	0.00%
PARENTS	7.69%	2.56%	33.33%	17.95%	38.46%
TEACHERS	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	55.56%	44.44%
ALUMNI	17.65%	0.00%	23.53%	35.29%	23.53%

Q.4. RATE THE REVISION OF THE SYLLABUS AS BENEFICIAL TO CONSTRUCTIVE LEARNING AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROBLEM-SOLVING ABILITIES.

1. Poor   2. Fair   3. Good   4. Very Good   5. Excellent

| Category	1. Poor	2. Fair	3. Good	4. Very Good	5. Excellent
STUDENTS (UG)	3.83%	5.57%	24.71%	35.50%	30.39%
STUDENTS (PG)	0.00%	50.00%	28.57%	21.43%	0.00%
PARENTS	5.13%	2.56%	30.77%	17.95%	43.59%
TEACHERS	0.00%	11.11%	11.11%	33.33%	44.44%
ALUMNI	17.65%	11.76%	17.65%	29.41%	23.53%

Q.5. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE CURRICULUM AND ITS APPROPRIATENESS IN TERMS OF EMPLOYABILITY AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP?

Legend: 1. Poor | 2. Fair | 3. Good | 4. Very Good | 5. Excellent

Category	1. Poor	2. Fair	3. Good	4. Very Good	5. Excellent
STUDENTS (UG)	3.60%	5.80%	26.22%	32.60%	31.79%
STUDENTS (PG)	7.14%	50.00%	21.43%	21.43%	0.00%
PARENTS	5.13%	5.13%	28.21%	25.64%	35.90%
TEACHERS	11.11%	0.00%	33.33%	11.11%	44.44%
ALUMNI	17.65%	5.88%	17.65%	41.18%	17.65%

Q.6. RATE THE STANDARD / DEPTH OF THE CURRICULUM OFFERED IN TERMS OF THE COMPETENCIES EXPECTED BY THE INDUSTRY.

Legend: 1. Poor | 2. Fair | 3. Good | 4. Very Good | 5. Excellent

Category	1. Poor	2. Fair	3. Good	4. Very Good	5. Excellent
STUDENTS (UG)	3.94%	5.57%	26.33%	32.13%	32.02%
STUDENTS (PG)	7.14%	42.86%	21.43%	28.57%	0.00%
PARENTS	5.13%	2.56%	20.51%	38.46%	33.33%
TEACHERS	11.11%	0.00%	22.22%	22.22%	44.44%
ALUMNI	17.65%	11.76%	17.65%	29.41%	23.53%

Average of all questions

Stakeholders	1. Poor	2. Fair	3. Good	4. Very Good	5. Excellent
Q.1	6.34%	15.47%	22.49%	31.50%	24.19%
Q.2	5.36%	10.76%	26.03%	31.27%	26.57%
Q.3	7.17%	7.13%	23.85%	34.20%	27.64%
Q.4	5.32%	16.20%	22.56%	27.52%	28.39%
Q.5	8.93%	13.36%	25.37%	26.39%	25.96%
Q.6	8.99%	12.55%	21.63%	30.16%	26.67%
Average	7.02%	12.58%	23.66%	30.17%	26.57%

Average of all questions rated shared with the all stakeholders

- 1. Poor, 7.02%
- 2. Fair, 12.58%
- 3. Good, 23.66%
- 4. Very Good, 30.17%
- 5. Excellent, 26.57%